

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/776,394	02/02/2001	Vincent Bryan	46739/252388	1424
23370	7590 06/27/2002			
JOHN S. PRATT, ESQ			EXAMINER	
	K STOCKTON, LLP TREE STREET		STEWART, ALVIN J	
SUITE 2800			Т	
ATLANTA, (GA 30309		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3738	
			DATE MAILED: 06/27/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/776,394	BRYAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Alvin J Stewart	3738				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover s	neet with the correspondence ac	iaress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however by within the statutory minim will apply and will expire SI) e, cause the application to b	r, may a reply be timely filed um of thirty (30) days will be considered time ((6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this of ecome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ity. communication.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05</u>	April 2002 .					
<u> </u>	his action is non-fina	al.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for for	mal matters, prosecution as to t	he merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	r Ex parte Quayle, 1	935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
4) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 8-21</u> is/are pending in the a	pplication.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from considerat	ion.				
5) Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>4,8-12,15,16 and 19-21</u> is/are reject	ed.					
7) Claim(s) <u>12-14,17 and 18</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirem	ent.				
Application Papers	or					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable		I to by the Examiner				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re						
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been receiv	red.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priapplication from the International B* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ureau (PCT Rule 17	′.2(a)).	l Stage			
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional	al application).			
 a) The translation of the foreign language points 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes 	rovisional application stic priority under 35	n has been received. U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.				
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) 🔲 1	nterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper N Notice of Informal Patent Application (P Other:				
LS Patent and Trademark Office						

Art Unit: 3738

DETAILED ACTION

Reissue Applications

The objection under 37 CFR 1.172(a) has been withdrawn.

Response to Amendment

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 4, and 8-19 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 4, 10, 11, 15, 16 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Monson US Patent 4,863,477

Monson discloses an intervertebral implant comprising two supports (4 & 6) having a convex shape (see col. 2, lines 55-63) adapted to mate with concave surfaces on vertebral bodies and a resilient body (30 and 42) interposed between the supports such that the supports are capable of movement relative to the resilient body. Finally, the resilient body has a gasket (ridges (32, 33, 34, 35, 36 and 38)) and a nucleous (mid-section of elements (30 and 42).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

3

Application/Control Number: 09/776,394

Art Unit: 3738

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shepperd US Patent 4,863,476 in view of Michelson US Patent 5,015,247.

Shepperd discloses an implant having two supports (5 & 6) with a convex outer shape and capable of motion relative to each other. Shepperd discloses a small incision in the skin and the cartilage that can be made by the Michelson method. The implant has all the structural limitations in order to be used on the Michelson reference.

Michelson teaches a method of inserting a cylindrical implant between the vertebral bodies. Michelson discloses the steps of: forming mounting holes in vertebral bodies by spikes (see Figs. 1-3), using those holes to mount a bone mill on the spine (see figs. 3 and 4), removing the mill, mounting an intervertebral disc (see Fig. 4) that mate with the vertebral bone walls (see Figs. 4d and 5).

Therefore, for the above reason, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the implanting method of the Michelson reference in order to implant the Shepperd prosthesis between two vertebral bodies for the purpose of increasing the implanting speed and reduce injury to other part of the body.

Claims 9, 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Monson US Patent 4,863,477.

Monson discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, Monson does not disclose a device receiving information about the size, shape, nature of the patient's involved and the step of removing damaged natural spinal disc material from the patient's spine.

Application/Control Number: 09/776,394

Art Unit: 3738

It is common knowledge in the prior art to make a x-ray analysis in a patient's spine for the purpose of determining the exact location of the damage disc tissue, size, and shape.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make a x-ray analysis in a patient's spine in order to determine the exact location of the damage disc tissue, size, and shape.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-3 are allowed.

Claims 12-14, 17 and 18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/776,394

Art Unit: 3738

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Alvin J Stewart whose telephone number is 703-305-0277. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00AM-5:30PM(1 Friday B-week off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on 703-308-2111. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3590 for regular

communications and 703-308-2708 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

AST

June 24, 2002

CORRINE MCDERMOTT
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 5

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700